

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 PARIS 32810 261838Z

44

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-20

USIA-15 NEA-10 SAJ-01 ACDA-19 IO-14 EB-11 COME-00

OMB-01 TRSE-00 CIEP-02 DRC-01 /162 W

----- 093821

R 261756Z DEC 73

FM AMEMBASSY PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5701

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY OSCOW

AMEMBASSY ROME

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS UNN

C O N F I D E N T I A L PARIS 32810

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: EGEN FR

SUBJECT: VIEWS OF FRENCH OFFICIAL ON U.S./EUROPEAN RELATIONS

1. BERNARD, DEPUTY SECRETARY GENERAL OF THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT HAS GIVEN ECON/COMM MIN HIS VIEWS ON US/EUROPEAN RELATIONS, THE ENERGY PROBLEM AND THE TNC PROBLEM. IS VIEWS ON THE LATTER TWO PROBLEMS WILL BE REPORTED SEPARATELY.

2. BERNARD SAID HE THOUGHT THERE HAD BEEN A SIGNIFICANT IMPROVEMENT IN US/FRENCH RELATIONS AFTER A PERIOD OF TENSION. HE THOUGHT THEJOBERT/KSSINGER BREAKFAST HAD BEEN PARTICULARLY VALUABLE. THIS BEING SAID HE FELT COMPELLED TO SAY THAT THE FRENCH HAD BEEN LESS SURPRISED AND PUT OUT BY U.S. BEHAVIOR

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PARIS 32810 261838Z

DURING THE MID EAST WAR THAN SOME OF OUR LESS INDEPENDENT

MINDED FRIENDS IN EUROPE. FRANCE HAS ALWAYS EXPECTED THAT THE U.S. LIKE ANY GREAT POWER WOULD ACT ALONE WHEN IT FELT ITS VITAL INTERESTS WERE AT STAKE.

3. ECON/COMM MIN REMARKED THAT THERE WERE TWO POINTS ON WHICH THE GOF HAD SEEMED MORE CONCERNED THAN ITS EUROPEAN PARTNERS--FIRST, THE CONCEPT OF PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THE U.S. AND THE EC AND SECOND WHAT JOBERT HAD CALLED THE U.S./SOVIET CONDOMINIUM. ON THE FIRST POINT BERNARD SAID THE EC WAS EMBARKED UPON A MAJOR ENTERPRISE--THE CREATION OF ITS POLITICAL UNITY. THIS UNITY WAS NOT BEING CREATED EITHER FOR OR AGAINST THE UMS. BUT AS SOMETHING PURELY EUROPEAN. IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE FOR EUROPE TO CREATE A PURELY EUROPEAN POLITICAL ENTITY IF IT WAS CLOSELY LINKED TO THE U.S. BY INSTITUTIONS, FORMAL CONSULTATIVE PROCEDURES AND THE LIKE, PARTICULARL WITH A NATION AS POWERFUL AS THE U.S. WHAT WOULD EMERGE FROM SUCH A RELATIONSHIP WOULD NOT BE A EUROPEAN BUT AN ATLANTIC ENTITY. THIS DID NOT MEAN THAT ONCE THE NINE HAD REACHED AGREEMENT ON A POSITION, THIS POSITION COULD NOT BE CHANGED IN NEGOTIATION WITH THE U.S. BERNARD REPEATED THAT THE EC WAS MOVING TOWARD POLITICAL UNITY AND THAT THIS WAS GOOD FOR THE U.S. EVEN IF AT TIMES WE FOUND THE PROCESS IRRITATING.

4. AS FOR DETENTE BERNARD SAW TWO PROBEMS. FIRST, WHEN THE U.S. AND THE USSR MOVED CLOSER TO EACH OTHER, THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO EACH OTHER TENDED TO BECOME MORE IMPORTANT THAN ANY OTHER RELATIONSHIPS. THIS MEANT THAT THE RELATIONSHIPS OF THE SMALLER POWERS WITH THE TWO SUPER POWERS SUFFERED AND THEY TENDED TO BE LEFT OUT OF IMPORTANT MATTERS. THE SECOND POINT WAS THAT THE U.S. AND THE USSR WERE QUITE DIFFERENT POWERS. THE U.S. WAS ENGAGED IN A PROCESS OF TRYING TO REDUCE ITS ROLE IN THE WORLD WHEREAS THE SOVIET UNION WAS TRYING TO EXPAND ITS. IN THE VIEW OF THE GOF, A CLOSE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TWO SUCH POWERS WAS NOT A GOOD HING.

5. ECON/COMM MIN REMARKED THAT A RECENT ARTICLE IN A FRENCH ECONOMIC MAGAZINE HAD SAID THAT GROWING GOF CONCERN ABOUT LONG RANGE SOVIET INTENTIONS WAS LEADING TO A CHANGE IN FRENCH OREIGN POLICY. THE RELATIONSHIP WITH THE USSR WAS COOLING AND FRANCE WAS MOVING CLOSER TO THE U.S. AND TO ATLANTICISM.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PARIS 32810 261838Z

BERNARD REPLIED THAT THIS WAS BOTH TRUE AND NOT TRUE. RANCO/SOVIET RELATIONS WERE STILL GOOD ALTHOUGH NOT AS GOOD AS THEY HAD BEEN SAY A YEAR AGO. ON THE OTHER HAND THE GOF WAS CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT SOVIET PLANS MIGHT BE, NOT IN THE IMMEDIATE FUTURE, BUT SAY TEN YEARS FROM OW. THE USSR WAS AN EXPANSIONIST UNDEMOCRATIC POWER, THE U.S. WAS NEITHER. FRANCE NATURALLY PREFERRED BEING UNDER THE U.S. UMBRELLA THAN THE SOVIET UMBRELLA. THE U.S. DID NOTCONSTITUTE A THREAT TO

FRANCE NOR DID CHINA. THE ONLY CONCEIVABLE THREAT OVER THE
NEXT TEN YEARS OR SO WAS THE USSR.

6. COMMENT: FRENCH POLICY AS DESCRIBED BY BERNARD SEEMS
SOMEWHAT CONTRADICTORY--ON THE ONE HAND MODERATE DISENCHANTMENT
WITH THE USSR, WITH A CONSEQUENT "TILT" TOWARD THE U.S.;
ON THE OTHER HAND CONTINUED INSISTENCE THAT A POLITICALLY
UNITED EUROPE MAINTAIN ITS INDEPENDENCE FROM THE U.S. WHAT
REMAINS TO BE SEEN IS WHETHER CONCERN ABOUT LONG RANGE SOVIET
INTENTIONS WILL LEAD TO GREATER FRENCH FLEXIBILITY IN THE
VARIOUS AREAS WHERE U.S. AND FRENCH POLICY CONFLICT.
STONE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ENERGY, FOREIGN RELATIONS, DETENTE, NATIONAL SECURITY, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 26 DEC 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: hilburpw
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973PARIS32810
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: n/a
From: PARIS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t1973127/aaaaaetc.tel
Line Count: 127
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: hilburpw
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 28 AUG 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <28-Aug-2001 by garlanwa>; APPROVED <16-Oct-2001 by hilburpw>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: VIEWS OF FRENCH OFFICIAL ON U.S./EUROPEAN RELATIONS
TAGS: EGEN, FR, US, UR, EEC
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005